

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/799,678	03/15/2004	Tzu-Wei Lai	LAIT3005/EM	LAIT3005/EM 8656		
23364	7590 06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BACON & T	THOMAS, PLLC	DUONG,	DUONG, HUNG V			
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2835			
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				n			
	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		0/799,678	LAI, TZU-WEI				
		aminer	Art Unit				
	1	ng v. Duong	2835	_			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears	on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of - If the period for reply specified above is less than this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(I	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. ty (30) days, a reply within m statutory period will app reply will, by statute, cause ths after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply length the statutory minimum of thirty (30 by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this common ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final					
<u> </u>	-						
,— ···	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	_						
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objecte		=: '	•	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copi	f: ity documents hav ity documents hav es of the priority d	ve been received. ve been received in Applio ocuments have been rec	cation No	ge			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		o donamou dopido mon rode	Hang V.	M			
Attachment(s)			HUNG VA	W DUONG			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	w (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) PRIMARY	EXAMINER			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			nal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
							

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shioya et al (US Pat. 5,644,469).

Regarding claims 1-3, Shioya et al disclose a portable computer comprising: a base 3, the base 3 having a top face, a bottom face, a front edge, a rear edge, and two opposite side edges, the top face having a keyboard 4 installed thereon; a display unit 1, the display unit 1 having a display screen 5 for showing data, a top side, a bottom side, and two opposite lateral sides; and two coupling arms 10 coupling the display unit 1 to the base 3, the coupling arms 10 each having a first end pivotally coupled to one lateral side of the display unit 1 near the bottom side of the display unit 1, a second end pivotally coupled to a middle part of one side edge of the base 3, and an internal space for the passing of an electric signal line 18 being electrically connected between the base 3 and the display unit 1 wherein the base 3 further comprises a cursor control device 6 wherein the cursor control device 6 is a touch pad.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,678

Art Unit: 2835

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shioya et al (US Pat. 5,644,469) in view of Chiang et al (US Pat. 6,385,039)

Regarding claims 4-5, Shioya et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention except for the base comprises an expansion slot set in the rear edge wherein the expansion slot is a battery slot holding an expansion battery pack. However Chiang et al disclose the base comprises an expansion slot set in the rear edge wherein the expansion slot is a battery slot holding an expansion battery pack (see figure 4). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the base comprises an expansion slot set in the rear edge of Chiang et al's base into Shioya's base in order to be an alternate configuration for more advantage and convenient.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shioya et al (US Pat. 5,644,469) in view of Chiang et al (US Pat. 6,385,039) and further in view of Bovio et al (US Pat. 6,724,623)

Regarding claim 6, Shioya et al and Chiange et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention except for the expansion slot is a port replicator, the port replicator comprising at least one expansion slot. However Bovio et al disclose the

Art Unit: 2835

expansion slot is a port replicator, the port replicator comprising at least one expansion slot (see figure 4). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the an expansion slot is a port replicator, the port replicator comprising at least one expansion slot of Bovio et al's expansion slot into Shioya and Chiang et al's expansion slot in order to be easy connection.

6. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shioya et al (US Pat. 5,644,469) in view of Choi (US Pat. 5,740,012).

Regarding claims 7-8, Shioya et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention except for the base comprises a detachable expansion unit in front edge wherein the expansion unit is a CD-ROM player. However Choi disclose the base comprises a detachable expansion unit in front edge wherein the expansion unit is a CD-ROM player (see column 3, lines 50-65). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the base comprises a detachable expansion unit in front edge wherein the expansion unit is a CD-ROM player of Choi's base into Shioya's base in order to be more advantage and convenient.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Carter et al (US Pat. 4,903,222) teach arrangement of components in laptop computer.

Art Unit: 2835

Chang et al (US2004/0062001) teach woofer module of portable computer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung v Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVD

06/09/05.

Hung Duong

Primary Examiner.

Hand V. K